Assessment of Hematological Profile of Pregnant Women

Pujitha Devi Suraneni¹, Sujatha²

¹Associate Professor, Patnam Mahender Reddy Institute of Medical Sciences, Chevella, Telangana, India, ²Professor, Mamata Academy of Medical Sciences, Bachupally, Hyderabad, India.

Abstract

Background: To assess hematological profile of pregnant women. **Subjects and Methods:** One hundred fifty- six women in age ranged 20-40 years were selected. Group I were pregnant women and group II were control. Determination of packed cell volume (PCV), total white cell count (WBC), differential counts, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) was performed. **Results:** The mean WBC (X109/L) was 7.1 in group I and 4.3 in group II, neutrophil was 53.2% in group I and 43.6% in group II, eosinophil was10.4% in group I and 6.5% in group II, basophil was 1.21% in group I and 1.32% in group II, monocyte 1.42% in group I and 4.51% in group II, lymphocyte was 35.4% in group I and 45.7% in group II, PCV 31.2% in group I and 38.4% in group II and ESR was 28.4 mm/hr in group I and 11.3 mm/hr in group II respectively. The mean parameters recorded at trimester 1, trimester 2 and trimester 3 showed values of WBC (X109/L) as 6.7, 7.4 and 8.1, neutrophil (%) as 54.2, 46.6 and 55.7, eosinophil (%) as 10.4, 9.5 and 10.6, basophil (%) as 1.29, 1.0 and 1.0, monocyte (%) as 1.42, 0.76 and 1.35, lymphocyte (%) as 34.4, 42.7 and 31.8, PCV (%) as 30.2, 32.4 and 31.6 and ESR (mm/hr) as 34.4, 31.3 and 27.6 respectively. The difference was on- significant (P> 0.05). **Conclusion:** There was alteration in hematological value in pregnant women. A careful examination of these indices is of paramount importance at various trimesters of pregnancy on order to avoid complications.

Keywords: pregnant women, hematological value, Basophils, Eosinophil.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Sujatha, Professor, Mamata Academy of Medical Sciences, Bachupally, Hyderabad, India.

Received: January 2020 Accepted: February 2020

Introduction

The hematological profile during pregnancy has an impact on pregnant ladies and the outcome of the pregnancy. The most common hematological indices are the indicators of hemoglobin concentration.^[1] The occurrence of anemia ie. low hemoglobin concentration in the blood is characterized as a hematologic abnormality.^[2] An anemic women tend to have severe pregnancy outcome. Anemia during pregnancy is the concentration of hemoglobin < 11.0 g/dL or <the 5th percentile of the distribution.^[3] The chances of miscarriages and low birth weight babies increases two- fold with low hemoglobin level in mother. It is also regarded as reason for lowered immunity of baby and mother which increases chances of infection among both.^[4]

In pregnancy, red blood cell mass increases by 15-20% due to increase in erythropoietin production. The hemoglobin concentration falls by 1-2 g/dl owing to relatively less increase in mass of red blood cells as compared to plasma volume.^[5,6] White blood cell (WBC) count raises significantly in pregnancy due to pregnancy induced physiological stress. Neutrophils donate most to the overall higher WBC count. On the other hand, the platelet count falls drastically owing to hemodilution, increased platelet activation and consumption, especially in the third trimester of pregnancy.^[7,8]

Thrombocytopenia is another common hematologic abnormality after anemia during pregnancy affecting

approximately 8-10% of pregnant ladies.^[9] Preeclampsia, hemolysis, enhanced liver enzymes, idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP) and HELLP syndrome are common pregnancy secondary quite in to thrombocytopenia. There is great risk of maternal, neonatal and fetal mortality.^[10,11,12] Considering this, we performed present prospective study to assess hematological profile of pregnant women.

Subjects and Methods

A sum total of one hundred fifty- six women in age ranged 20-40 years were selected for this prospective, observational study. All enrolled ladies were pregnant with different trimesters. They agreed to participate with their written consent. Institutional ethical clearance certificate was obtained before starting the study.

Demographic data of each enrolled patient was recorded. Grouping was done, group I were pregnant women and group II were control. A careful history taking was done followed by physical and gynecological examination. 3 millilitres of venous blood collected from the median cubital vein in K+ EDTA bottle. The blood was properly mixed and determination of packed cell volume (PCV), total white cell count (WBC), differential counts, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) was measured. Results of the study was compiled and studied for statistical analysis performed using MS excel sheet and SPSS version

Suraneni & Sujatha; Hematological Profile of Pregnant Women

19.0. P value was considered significant at 0.05.

Results

Table I Distribution of subjects					
Groups	Group I	Group II			
Status	Pregnant	Control			
Mean age (years)	34.2	32.8			

Group I were pregnant ladies and group II were control. The mean age was 34.2 years in group I and 32.8 years in group II (Table I).

Table II Hematological indices in both groups						
Parameters	Group I	Group II	P value			
WBC (X109/L	7.1	4.3	< 0.05			
Neutrophil (%)	53.2	43.6	>0.05			
Eosinophil (%)	10.4	6.5	< 0.05			
Basophil (%)	1.21	1.32	>0.05			
Monocyte (%)	1.42	4.51	< 0.05			
Lymphocyte (%)	35.4	45.7	< 0.05			
PCV (%)	31.2	38.4	>0.05			
ESR (mm/hr)	28.4	11.3	< 0.05			

The mean WBC (X109/L) was 7.1 in group I and 4.3 in group II, neutrophil was 53.2% in group I and 43.6% in group II, eosinophil was10.4% in group I and 6.5% in group II, basophil was 1.21% in group I and 1.32% in group II, monocyte 1.42% in group I and 4.51% in group II, lymphocyte was 35.4% in group I and 45.7% in group II, PCV 31.2% in group I and 38.4% in group II and ESR was 28.4 mm/hr in group I and 11.3 mm/hr in group II respectively. A significant difference was observed (P< 0.05).



Graph 1	l
---------	---

Parameters	Trimester	Trimester	Trimester	Р
	1	2	3	value
WBC (X109/L	6.7	7.4	8.1	>0.05
Neutrophil (%)	54.2	46.6	55.7	>0.05
Eosinophil (%)	10.4	9.5	10.6	>0.05
Basophil (%)	1.29	1.0	1.0	>0.05
Monocyte (%)	1.42	0.76	1.35	>0.05
Lymphocyte	34.4	42.7	31.8	>0.05
(%)				
PCV (%)	30.2	32.4	31.6	>0.05
ESR (mm/hr)	34.4	31.3	27.6	>0.05

The mean parameters recorded at trimester 1, trimester 2 and trimester 3 showed values of WBC (X109/L) as 6.7,

Asian Journal of Medical Research | Volume 9 | Issue 1 | January-March 2020

7.4 and 8.1, neutrophil (%) as 54.2, 46.6 and 55.7, eosinophil (%) as 10.4, 9.5 and 10.6, basophil (%) as 1.29, 1.0 and 1.0, monocyte (%) as 1.42, 0.76 and 1.35, lymphocyte (%) as 34.4, 42.7 and 31.8, PCV (%) as 30.2, 32.4 and 31.6 and ESR (mm/hr) as 34.4, 31.3 and 27.6 respectively. The difference was on- significant (P > 0.05).



Discussion

Hematological changes during pregnancy is a common phenomenon which occurs due to increased demands of the developing fetus and placenta.^[13,14,15] There is 40-45% increase in plasma volume which is facilitated by a direct action of progesterone and estrogen on the kidney resulting in liberation of renin and hence an activation of the aldosterone renin-angio-tensin mechanism.[16,17] This in turns causes retention of sodium, thereby increasing total body water. Late second trimester encounters more increase at faster rate.^[18,19] We performed present prospective study to assess hematological profile of pregnant women.

Our results showed that the mean WBC (X109/L) was 7.1 in group I and 4.3 in group II, neutrophil was 53.2% in group I and 43.6% in group II, eosinophil was10.4% in group I and 6.5% in group II, basophil was 1.21% in group I and 1.32% in group II, monocyte 1.42% in group I and 4.51% in group II, lymphocyte was 35.4% in group I and 45.7% in group II, PCV 31.2% in group I and 38.4% in group II and ESR was 28.4 mm/hr in group I and 11.3 mm/hr in group II respectively. A study conducted by Gebreweld et al^[20] found differences in mean hematological parameters between trimesters in values of WBC, HCT, neutrophil and lymphocyte which were statistically significant (p < 0.05). There was 11.6% prevalence of anemia and 7.7% of thrombocytopenia. There was microcytic hypochromic anemia encountered in 51.5%, normocytic hypochromic in 27.3%, normocvtic normochromic in 18.2% and dimorphic in 3%.

Our results demonstrated that the mean parameters recorded at trimester 1, trimester 2 and trimester 3 showed values of WBC (X109/L) as 6.7, 7.4 and 8.1, neutrophil (%) as 54.2, 46.6 and 55.7, eosinophil (%) as 10.4, 9.5 and 10.6, basophil (%) as 1.29, 1.0 and 1.0, monocyte (%) as 1.42, 0.76 and 1.35, lymphocyte (%) as 34.4, 42.7 and 31.8, PCV (%) as 30.2, 32.4 and 31.6 and ESR (mm/hr) as 34.4, 31.3 and 27.6 respectively. Osonuga et al^[21] determined hematological parameters in 33 pregnant women and 11

Suraneni & Sujatha; Hematological Profile of Pregnant Women

non-pregnant women. The pregnant females showed statistically significant lower values of PCV, monocyte and lymphocyte while WBC, eosinophil and ESR were not significantly altered. There was no significant difference in all hematological parameters among the three trimesters.

Akinbami et al^[22] conducted a study on 274 pregnant women and found that mean hematocrit level was 30.1%; hemoglobin concentration 10.94 g/dL; white blood cells, $7.81 \times 10(9)$; platelets $228.2 \times 10(9)$; packed cell volume 78.3 fL, corpuscular hemoglobin, 28.5 pg; and corpuscular hemoglobin concentration, 36.4 g/dL. The mean hemoglobin concentration values were 11.59 g/dL in first trimester, 10.8 g/dL in second trimester, and 10.3 g/dL in third trimester. A mean white blood cell concentration for first, second, and third trimesters were $7.3 \times 10(9)$, $7.88 \times 10(9)$, and $8.37 \pm 2.15 \times 10(9)$, respectively.

Conclusion

There was alteration in hematological value in pregnant women. A careful examination of these indices is of paramount importance at various trimesters of pregnancy on order to avoid complications.

References

- James TR, Reid HL, Mullings MA. Are published standards for haemtological indices in pregnancy applicable across populations: an evaluation in healthy pregnant Jamacian women. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2008; 8:8.
- Harrison KA. Blood volume changes in normal pregnant Nigerian women. J Obstet Gynaecol Br Commonw 1966; 73(5): 717-723.
- Imam TS, Yahaya A. Packed cell volume of pregnant women attending Dawakin Kudu General Hospital, Kano State, Nigeria. Int Jor P App Scs 2008; 2(2): 46-50.
- WHO. Prevention and treatment of malaria during pregnancy. [Online] Available from: http://pdf.usaid.gov/pdf_docs/ Pnada621.pdf. 2004. [Accessed on 25 Feb, 2010]
- 5. Rogerson SJ, Hviid L, Duffy PE, Leke FG, Taylor DW. Malaria in pregnancy: pathogenesis and immunity. Lancet Infect Dis 2007; 7(2):

105-117.

- Pilsczek FH, Renn W, Hardin H, Schmülling RM. Clinical laboratory values during diabetic pregnancies. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2008; 20(1): 3-6.
- Wahed F, Latif S, Uddin M, Mahmud M. Fact of low hemoglobin and packed cell volume in pregnant women are at a stand still. Mymensingh Med J 2008; 17(1): 4-7.
- Pitkin RM, Witte DL. Platelet and leucocyte count in pregnancy. JAMA 1979; 242(24): 2696-2698.
- Luppi P. How immune mechanisms are affected by pregnancy. Vaccine 2003; 21(24): 3352-3357.
- Lurie S, Rahamim E, Pipers I. Total and differential leukocyte counts percentiles in normal pregnancy. 2008; 136(1):16-19.
- Van Den Broe NR, Letsky EA. Pregnancy and the erythrocyte sedimentation rate. BJOG 2001; 108: 1164-1167.
- Manten TR, Franx A, Sikkema JM, Hameeteman TM, Visser GH, de Groot PG, et al. Fibrinogen and high molecular weight fibrinogen during and after normal pregnancy. Thromb Res 2004; 114(1): 19-23.
- Allen LH. Anemia and iron deficiency: effects on pregnancy outcome. Am Soc Clin Nutr. 71:1280S–4S.
- Mohammed AA, Elnour MH, Mohammed EE, Ahmed SA, Abdelfattah AI. Maternal mortality in Kassala state - eastern Sudan: community-based study using reproductive age mortality survey (RAMOS). BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2011;11:102.
- 15. Rajasekhar A, Gernsheimer T, Stasi R, James AH. 2013 clinical practice guide on thrombocytopenia in pregnancy. American Society of Hematology; 2013.
- 16. Boehlen F. Thrombocytopenia during pregnancy Importance, diagnosis and management. Hamostaseologie. 2006;26:72–4.
- Myers B. Thrombocytopenia in pregnancy. Obstet Gynaecol. 2009;11:177–83.
- Das S, Char D, Sarkar S, Saha TK, Biswas S. Study of hematological parameters in pregnancy. IOSR J Dent Med Sci. 2013;12(1):42–4.
- Ifeanyi OE, Ndubuisi OT, Leticia EOB, Uche EC. Haematological profile of pregnant women in Umuahia, Abia state. Nigeria IntJCurrMicrobiolAppSci. 2014;3(1):713–8.
- 20. Gebreweld A, Bekele D, Tsegaye A. Hematological profile of pregnant women at St. Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. BMC hematology. 2018 Dec;18(1):1-7.
- Osonuga IO, Osonuga OA, Onadeko AA, Osonuga A, Osonuga AA. Hematological profile of pregnant women in southwest of Nigeria. Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease. 2011 Sep 1;1(3):232-4.
- 22. Akinbami AA, Ajibola SO, Rabiu KA, Adewunmi AA, Dosunmu AO, Adediran A, Osunkalu VO, Osikomaiya BI, Ismail KA. Hematological profile of normal pregnant women in Lagos, Nigeria. International journal of women's health. 2013;5:227.

Copyright: (1) the author(s), 2020. It is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits authors to retain ownership of the copyright for their content, and allow anyone to download, reuse, reprint, modify, distribute and/or copy the content as long as the original authors and source are cited.

How to cite this article: Suraneni PD, Sujatha. Assessment of Hematological Profile of Pregnant Women. Asian J. Med. Res. 2020;9(1):OG04-OG06.

DOI: dx.doi.org/10.21276/ajmr.2020.1.1.OG2

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.

6