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#### Abstract

Background: Children are not given an chance for better future due to lack of grasp of their nutritional and emotional needs, which affects not solely the man or woman child's health however additionally nation's monetary progress. Methods: A college primarily based go sectional find out about was carried out among major college adolescents in 6-14 years of age located in field exercise vicinity pane. Results: The pilot study result showed forty five $\%$ morbidity. With this assumption using components $\mathrm{n}=4 \mathrm{pq} / \mathrm{d} 2$ a sample dimension of 488 used to be calculated, for that reason a complete of 500 students were protected in the study. The key findings of our study are $53.4 \%$ have been girls, and $53.4 \%$ of youngsters belonged to Muslim community Majority of households belong to socio-economic classification II 40.4\%. Conclusion: School age is a imperative time in the development of human beings and the School placing affords a strategic factor of entry for improving infant health, self-esteem, existence abilities and behaviour. Malnutrition is a condition of multifactor deprivation.
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## INTRODUCTION

Children are assets of any nation; they form a significant section of society and are dependent. These children are not given an opportunity for better future due to lack of understanding of their nutritional and emotional needs. This affects not only the individual child's health, but also nation's economic progress. Several factors affect the nutritional status of this group. Among these the socioeconomic and demographic factors are associated with worldwide pattern of stunting and thinness.[1]
Malnutrition is a condition of multifactor deprivation. According to Jellife, the ecological factors leading to malnutrition are conditioning influences, cultural influences, socioeconomic factors; factors related to food production and intake as well as availability and utilization of health and others services.
Low birth weight and infections are the most important conditioning influences responsible for malnutrition, especially in small children. Diarrhoea, Acute respiratory infection, Vaccine Preventable Diseases (VPD) like measles, whooping cough and TB and helminthiasis are the common infections that initiate malnutrition and aggravate existing malnutritionThe most widely prevalent form of malnutrition among children is Protein energy malnutrition (PEM). Severe protein energy malnutrition often associated with infection contributes to high child mortality in underprivileged communities. Further, early malnutrition can have lasting effects on growth and functional status
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Indian population has a higher body fat content and abdominal adiposity: the latter is particularly associated with insulin resistance and hence NAFLD.[3]
Children are considered to be the backbone of the nation. There are concerted efforts to provide care to the children less than 5 years of age through various national maternal and child health programmes for example: ICDS, RCH etc. Apart from mid-day meal programme, which is being run by the government of India under the ministry of primary education, there are no special efforts for children in age group 6-14 years. Various types of government sponsored school health programmes have been launched from time to time but their progress and achievement have been very slow and incomplete. Their services are also limited to the urban and favourable schools. They thus remain a neglected group [2].

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

A school based cross sectional study was conducted among primary school children in 6-14 years of age from May 2009 to July 2011, from randomly selected four rural schools out of eight schools located in a tertiary care centre. A pilot study was conducted during July 2010 to August 2010 and the pilot study results showed $45 \%$ of children were suffering from one or the other morbidity. With this assumption the following sample size with an acceptable error of $10 \%$ level of significance works out, using the formula $\mathrm{n}=4 \mathrm{pq} / \mathrm{d} 2$ a sample size of 488 was calculated, thus a total of 500 students were included in the study.
Nutritional status of children was assessed by Indian Academy of Paediatrics classification. It is the most popular classification in India proposed by IAP in 1972, which is calculated by a formula weight of child /expected weight of child of that age X 100 and is graded or interpreted as follows normal or health if weight for age is $>80 \%$ of expected, Grade I: PEM if weight for age is between $71 \%$ $80 \%$ of expected, Grade II: PEM if weight for age is between $61 \%-70 \%$ of expected, Grade III: PEM if weight for age is between $51 \%-60 \%$ of expected, Grade IV: PEM if weight for age is $<50 \%$ of expected.

The physical instruments used in the study were a weighing machine, portable anthropometric rod and Haemoglobin estimation was carried out by Sahli's method, as another method was not available. Study subjects with $\mathrm{HB}<12$ $\mathrm{gm} \%$ was considered as anemic.[1]
Data was collected using pre-tested semi-structured questionnaire as well as interview-cum observation. Data was tabulated and analyzed with frequency distribution table using appropriate test of significance.

## RESULTS

Out of 500 children, there were 267 (53.4\%) girls and 233 $(46.6 \%)$ boys. In the study population, $53.4 \%$ of the children belonged to Muslim Community followed by Hindus (44.6\%). Majority of families belong to socio-economic class II ( $40.4 \%$ ) and class III ( $29.2 \%$ ). illiteracy was observed among $5.2 \%$ of mothers and $6.8 \%$ of fathers. $43 \%$ of children belonged to Joint family while $42 \%$ belonged to nuclear family.

Table 1: Mean and Standard Deviation of Height for Girls and Boys ( $\mathrm{n}=500$ )

| Age <br> in yea rs | Boys |  |  | Girls |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$ | Height Mean $\pm$ SD | $\begin{gathered} \text { Weight } \\ \text { Mean } \pm \\ \text { SD } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{N} \\ & \mathbf{o} \end{aligned}$ | Height Mean $\pm$ SD | Weight Mean $\pm$ SD |
| 6 | 19 | $\begin{gathered} 114.19 \pm .6 \\ 31 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.57 \pm 0 . \\ 879 \end{gathered}$ | 15 | $\begin{gathered} 112.85 \pm 1 \\ 245 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.26 \pm 0.5 \\ 49 \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 | 21 | $\begin{gathered} 124.21 \pm 9 . \\ 535 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.97 \pm 5 . \\ 873 \end{gathered}$ | 18 | $\begin{gathered} 119.78 \pm 2 . \\ 557 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.61 \pm 1.1 \\ 32 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 8 | 36 | $\begin{gathered} 126.22 \pm 3 \\ 921 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.97 \pm 2 . \\ 908 \end{gathered}$ | 41 | $\begin{gathered} 121.72 \pm 17 \\ .240 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.30 \pm 0.8 \\ 27 \end{gathered}$ |
| 9 | 19 | $130 \pm 4.341$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.48 \pm 1 . \\ 265 \end{gathered}$ | 33 | $\begin{gathered} 130.32 \pm 3 \\ 276 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.48 \pm 1.2 \\ 65 \end{gathered}$ |
| 10 | 37 | $\begin{gathered} 135.09 \pm 7 . \\ 110 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 30.09 \pm 1 . \\ 946 \end{gathered}$ | 45 | $\begin{gathered} 131.10 \pm 21 \\ .815 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.84 \pm 1.5 \\ 88 \end{gathered}$ |
| 11 | 17 | $\begin{gathered} 139.24 \pm 6 . \\ 340 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.03 \pm 4 \\ 215 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 19 | $\begin{gathered} 141.55 \pm 1 \\ 957 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.97 \pm 3.2 \\ 68 \end{gathered}$ |
| 12 | 27 | $\begin{gathered} 140.35 \pm 12 \\ .959 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.96 \pm 2 . \\ 598 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 26 | $\begin{gathered} 146.60 \pm 1 . \\ 393 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.54 \pm 0.8 \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ |
| 13 | 37 | $\begin{gathered} 151.22 \pm 1 \\ 877 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.54 \pm 19 . \& \\ 54 \end{gathered}$ | 38 | $\begin{gathered} 146.66 \pm 5 \\ 712 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.00 \pm 11 \\ 845 \end{gathered}$ |
| 14 | 20 | $\begin{gathered} 156.22 \pm 8 . \\ 707 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.85 \pm 5 . \\ 896 \end{gathered}$ | 32 | $\begin{gathered} 152.28 \pm 8 \\ 141 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44.69 \pm 6.0 \\ 82 \end{gathered}$ |
| Tot al | $\begin{gathered} 23 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 135.98 \pm 13 \\ .841 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.57 \pm 1 \\ 2.04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 134.78 \pm 16 \\ 800 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.70 \pm 9.9 \\ 58 \end{gathered}$ |

In [Table 1] shows the comparative value of mean height and weight of both boys and girls. All the measurements consistently increased with age. From age 6-14 years, the mean height increased from 112.8 cm to 152.2 cm in girls, and from 114.19 cm to 135.98 cm in boys. In addition, the mean weight increased from 18.26 kg to 44.69 kg in girls, and from 18.57 kg to 44.85 kg in boys. The value for height of boys was higher than girls till the age 9 years and remained below the girls till the age 12 years. The value for weight of boys was slightly higher than girls till the age of 10 years, there after they lagged behind and remained below the girls up to 13 years. Spurt in mean height was observed between 6-7 years and 12-13 years of age in boys were as in girls it was observed between 8-9 years, 9-10 years and 10-

11 years of age. Spurt in mean height was observed between 12-13 years in both the age group.
[Table 2] showed overall prevalence of malnourished was $41 \%$. Majority $29 \%$ of malnourished children were having grade I malnutrition, followed by $9 \%, 2.2 \%$ and $0.8 \%$ of malnutrition was observed in Grade II, III and IV respectively. Prevalence of Malnutrition was more among girls ( $43.1 \%$ ) compared to boys ( $38.6 \%$ ). Grade I II were more in girls were as reverse findings were seen in grade III and IV.
[Table 3] The most common morbidity found in the under nourished children was Dental problems 71 (34.6\%) followed by Vitamin deficiency 63 (30.7\%) and ENT problems 34 ( $16.5 \%$ ). Table showed that under nutrition children were having more morbidity compare to normal and the difference between the normal nutritional status and under nutrition in case of Vitamin deficiency, ENT problems, Respiratory infection, Gastro intestinal diseases was found Statistically Significant. The difference between boys and girls with respect to malnutrition status was statistically not significant. Indicating nutritional status has barring on health status but not the gender.

## DISCUSSION ${ }^{`}$

School age children form a substantial proportion of the World's population numbering about $24 \%$ of population of the Developing World and about $15 \%$ of the Industrialized World.[3] Nearly $90 \%$ of the World's children survive beyond their 5th birthday and $80 \%$ of these children are enrolled in schools.[4] School age is a critical time in the development of human beings and the School setting provides a strategic point of entry for improving child health, self-esteem, life skills and behaviour. [5]
The finding of our study were in consistence with the study done by Rao NP, Singh D, Krishna TP in rural primary school children,[6] where the mean height of the girls was more than the boys till 13 years, after which the boys were taller than the girls. This is probably because of the early onset of puberty in girls. A similar finding has been reported from Wardha district.[3] However, at 14 years of age, the height of both girls and boys was about 10 cm more in Chandawli as compared to the children in Wardha.
In current study, it was observed that over all prevalence of malnutrition was $41 \%$. Study done by Shakya SR, Bhandary $\mathrm{S}[7]$ found high prevalence of $61 \%$, may be due to inclusion of only government school in the study. Estimates based on WHO global database suggest that $5.9 \%$ of school aged children in industrialized countries and $48 \%$ in developing countries are anaemic.[8] India continues to be one of the countries with high prevalence because of low dietary intake, poor availability of nutritious food and chronic blood loss due to hookworm infestation and malaria. NFHS-3 reveals the prevalence of anaemia to be $70-80 \%$ in children, is in par with the findings of the current study.
For the age group of 5-11 years the data on prevalence of Nutritional anaemia at all India Level or even State Level data are not available. Individual studies are available, though not adequate for any mapping on regional estimates. For the age group 6-14 years the studies are mainly school based and indicate overall prevalence of anaemia $73 \%$ [9].

## CONCLUSION

To conclude, the nutrition status of children had barring on morbidity, and high prevalence of anemia among school children, indicates nutrition play a key role in child's life. Current supplementation of food through the school health program or Mid-Day meal program should be complimented with additional efforts like creating awareness regarding role of nutrition on overall growth and development and health of children and its impact on adulthood. This type of awareness should be in the direction of the community or parents level so that health and nutrition are sustained.
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