A Study on Anthropometric Measurements of Lower end of Indian Femora

Avantika Bamne¹, Uma Pandalai Gayathri²

¹Associate Professor, Department of Anatomy, Index Medical College Hospital & Research Centre, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India, ²Assistant Professor, Department of Anatomy, Index Medical College Hospital & Research Centre, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India.

| Abstract | |
|----------|--|
| | |

Background: The knee joint is a complex, compound synovial joint, providing hinge movements useful to give stability and support to body weight. Common knee problems happen due to injuries and diseases of the knee. To the best of my knowledge, the anthropometric measurement on the lower end of the femur is not available for Madhya Pradesh population. The present study aims to measure various parameters of femoral condyles and intercondylar area which would be useful in the placement of the femoral compartment of knee prosthesis. **Subjects and Methods:** To conduct the Present study a total of 65 human dried femora were measured in the department of Anatomy, IMCH & RC, Indore, MP. Parameters & measurements recorded at the lower end of the femur were Anteroposterior & transverse diameters of medial and lateral condyles, intercondylar notch depth, width & bicondylar width. **Results** will be analyzed with the help of statistical calculations. **Conclusion** The present study may be useful to orthopeditians and surgeons to select the accurate size of the prosthesis during knee arthroplasty surgeries.

Keywords: Arthroplasty, Femoral, Intercondylar Area, Knee Joint

Corresponding Author: Avantika Bamne, Associate Professor, Department of Anatomy, Index Medical College Hospital & Research Centre, Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India.

E-mail: avantikasbamne09@gmail.com

| Received: 30 January 2021 | Revised: 24 March 2021 | Accepted: 30 March 2021 | Published: 14 June 2021 | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Introduction | | measurements of femoral condyles will help in designing knee prostheses. The appropriate sized femoral component of | | | | | |
| "Anthropometric" has original meaning human and metron mea- is a scientific study of measure human body. ^[1] The most essent is the knee joint. For the sam commonly affected joint by o | ements and proportions of the ial joint needed for locomotion we reason, it is also the most | prosthetics helps in the normal range of function in a prosthetic knee. Undersized femoral components will cause loosening of implants while oversized prosthesis causes impingement of soft tissues surrounding the knee joint. Therefore the usage of appropriate size of knee implants is essential for the success of total knee arthroplasty TKA. ^[6] | | | | | |
| Knee joint acts as a pivot betw body it is subjected to a good a & the joint is potentially uns bicondylar joint formed by the and posterior articular surface the longest [45cm] and strong which constitutes one-fourth o The length of the femur is asso | mount of loads in locomotion table. ^[3] The knee joint is a e femoral and tibial condyles of the patella. The femur is est bone in the human body f the height of an individual. | Knee Arthoplasty is a surgical pr bearing surfaces of the knee join and disability. The commonest in is osteoarthritis, rheumatic and p osteoarthritis is treated by Tota or Unilateral Knee Arthoplasty tissue balancing along with resect with the thickness of prosthetic | nt are replaced for pain relief dication for knee arthroplasty psoriatic arthritis. ^[7] Usually, al Knee Arthoplasty [TKA] [UKA]. There is precise soft tion of bone thickness equally | | | | |
| biphasic and bipedal locomotion | 66 | This helps in equal spacing for fl | 1 1 | | | | |

joint stability throughout the range of motion. From the 1950s TKA prosthetics designing has been evolving. It started with Walldius's design of hinged knee replacement. In the 1970s, Total condylar prosthesis (TCP) was the first TKA prosthesis in which all three compartments of the knee were resurfaced.

plays an important role.^[5]The distal end of the femur is widely

expanded to form condules that help in weight transmission

to the tibia. Both the condyles are confluent and continuous

with the shaft anteriorly. Posteriorly the femoral condyles

are separated by a deep intercondylar fossa. Anthropometric

Various categories of prosthetic designing in TKA are Cruciate Retaining, Posterior Stablity, Constrained Non-hinged Design and Constrained Hinged Design.^[8]

The osteometric parameters required for developing prosthetics for TKA are obtained from the data of studies conducted in the Caucasian population. Hardly few studies on the morphometric measurements of the femur in the Indian population. The postoperative success and patient acceptability depends on femoral components. As per research studies there is significant ethnic variation in femoral anatomy. As per study reports, TKA's oversized components are in between 66 - 76%.^[9]

The studies are available from the European population on age-related differences and its three–dimensional geometric morphological analysis suggests that, designing of the knee prosthesis is done according to age differences like subjects under the age of 40 require differences of knee prostheses, than in older patients because of degenerative changes in bones.^[10,11]

Many authors have also proposed studies based on anthropometric measurements of the knee joint in the Indian population and corelated them with data obtained after performing MRI scan, computed tomography scan on patients diagnosed with bilateral primary arthritis, tumors, post-traumatic fractures etc. suggests that prostheses available presently have differences from knee morphometry of Indian population.^[12]

Subjects and Methods

The present study was conducted in the Department of Anatomy, Index Medical College and Research Centre, Indore, Madhya Pradesh on 65 dried human femora [34 right & 31 left] of unknown age and sex were analysed. Samples were collected and studied from Index medical college and Sri Aurobindo Institute of Medical Sciences, Indore, Madhya Pradesh. Bones with broken ends or, Damaged, and unossified bones were excluded from the study. Using standard digital vernier caliper dimension values were measured in Millimeter (mm).

The parameters measured were: Bicondylar Width (BCW): In a transverse plane, the maximum distance across the femoral condyles Medial condylar anteroposterior diameter [MCAP]: maximum anteroposterior distance of medial condyle of the femur.^[13]

Lateral condylar anteroposterior diameter [LCAP]: Maximum anteroposterior distance of lateral condyle of the femur.^[14]

Medial condylar Transverse diameter [MCTD]: Maximum Transverse distance of medial condyle of the femur.

Lateral condylar Transverse diameter [LCTD]: Maximum Transverse distance of lateral condyle of the femur.

Intercondylar notch width [ICW]: Maximum Transverse distance of intercondylar notch.

Intercondylar notch depth [ICD]: Maximum height of the intercondylar notch.^[15] All the parameters were measured by the same person to prevent inter-observer error. Data obtained were tabulated and statistical analysis was performed by SPSS and students t-test is applied. A p-value less than 0.05 is considered significant.



Figure 1: Measurement Of Medial Condyle of femur – TD



Figure 2: Measurementof Bicondylar width of the femur

Bamne & Gayathri: Anthropometric measurements of Lower end of Indian Femora

| Table 1: Measurements of parameters of femoral condyles | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------|---|---------------|------|---------------|---|---------------|-------|---------------|------|------------------|
| Side of femur | Medial cor | ıdyle | | Lateral | cond | yle | | Intercon | ndyla | r region | | Bicondylar |
| | MCAP | MCTD | | LCAP | | LCTD | | Depth | | Width | | |
| Right | $\begin{array}{rrr} 54.61 & \pm \\ 3.38 \end{array}$ | 28.14 1.91 | ± | 56.09 3.57 | ± | 29.97 2.28 | ± | 24.53 2.57 | ± | 20.92 2.95 | ± | 70.91 ± 5.21 |
| Left | $\begin{array}{rrr} 55.94 & \pm \\ 4.5 \end{array}$ | 28.94 2.82 | ± | 55.64 4.17 | ± | 30.41 2.31 | ± | 24.5 ± 2 | 2.43 | 20.80 ± | 2.65 | 71.01 ± 5.20 |

Table 2: Comparison of measurements between right and left femur

| Parameter | Range Right (Mean ±SD) | Range left (Mean \pm SD) |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Medial condyle AP diameter | 54.61 ± 3.38 | 55.94 ± 4.5 |
| Lateral condyle AP diameter | 56.09 ± 3.57 | 55.64 ± 4.17 |
| Medial condyle TD | 28.14 ± 1.91 | 28.94 ± 2.82 |
| Lateral condyle TD | 29.97 ± 2.28 | 30.41 ± 2.31 |
| Inercondylar Depth | 24.53 ± 2.57 | 24.5 ± 2.43 |
| Intercondylar Width | 20.92 ± 2.95 | 20.80 ± 2.65 |
| Bicondylar Width | 70.91 ± 5.21 | 71.01 ± 5.20 |



Figure 3: Measurement of Medial Condyle A-P Diameter

Results

All measurements are represented in mm. & in terms of Mean \pm Standard Deviation.

All parameters are recorded in mm. and represented as Mean \pm SD. As we compared the mean values of all parameters from the above table, the medial condylar AP length of the femur on the right side was 54.61 \pm 3.38 mm and on the left side, it was recorded as 55.94 \pm 4.5 mm. with (p value= 0.189). Which is not considered statistically significant.



Figure 4: Measurement of Lateral Condyle T-D Diameter

The mean values of medial condylar TD length on the right side were measured as 28.14 ± 1.91 mm while on the left side it was observed 28.94 ± 2.82 mm while (p = 0.194) by conventional criteria, this difference is considered to be not statistically significant.

Similar to the findings of medial condyle, the mean values of lateral condylar AP length on right side as LCAPD = 56.09 \pm 3.57 mm and on left side LCAPD =55.64 \pm 4.17 mm. with (p= 0.646) and the mean values of lateral condylar TD



Figure 5: Measurement of Lateral Condyle A-P Diameter



Figure 6: Measurement of Intercondylar width & depth

length on right side LCTD = 29.97 ± 2.28 mm and on left side LCTD = 30.41 ± 2.31 mm & (p = 0.45) which is considered as statistically not significant.

Measurements of intercondylar depth on right side was 24.53 \pm 2.57mm & on left side 24.5 \pm 2.43 mm. for which p value calculated as (p = 0.969) whereas, intercondylar width measures 20.92 \pm 2.95 mm on right side & 20.80 \pm 2.65mm on left side. (p = 0.869) which is not statistically significant. Bicondylar width on right side was measured as 70.91 \pm 5.21 mm while on left side 71.01 \pm 5.20 mm with (p = 0.938).this difference is considered not statistically significant.

Discussion

In the Present study different parameters based on morphometric measurements of the lower end of the femur are recorded. According to many studies performed in India which were by indirect methods shows inaccurate methods which have to be corrected by projection or resolutions methods.

The study was done in the eastern Indian population on 70 dry femurs of unknown sex and also 50 digital radiographic plates of known age & sex by Ananya Biswas shows mean LCAPD= 56.20mm & LCTD=28.03mm, MCAPD=54.74mm & MCTD=27.2mm, BCW=71.71mm. So, the present study dimensions are highly correlated with the above study.^[16] Another study from the Gujarat population was performed by Ankur Zalawadia based on 120 dry femursof known gender were used, the present study also shows correlation with the aftermentioned study.^[17]

Conclusion

The data generated from the present study may be useful to orthopeditians and surgeons to select the accurate size of the prosthesis during knee arthroplasty surgeries. Also, measurements of the intercondylar notch from our study may assist in surgeries of ACL inury, tears & diseases of anterior crusciate ligaments during the ACL reconstruction procedures.

The data from the present study may be helpful for Indian biomechanical engineers to meet the demands of appropriate size of the prosthetic component to be used instead of the oversized or small-sized prosthesis and to prevent complications like loosening due to undersized or causing impingment of the soft tissue due to incorrect knee prosthesis available.

References

- 1. ;.
- Int J, Adv. Research morphometric study of distal end of femur in Indian population. Int J Adv Res;6(6):2320–5407.
- 3. Morphometric Study of Lower Femoral Anatomy In Eastern Indian Population. 2014;3:182–190.
- 4. Indian journal of basic and applied medical research. 2015;4.
- 5. Mishra RN, Kumar V. Biomechanical Analysis of the human femur bone. Int J Eng Sci Tech. 2011;3(4):975–5462.
- Terzidis I, Totlis T, Papathanasiou E, Sideridis A, Vlasis K, Natsis K. Gender and Side-to-Side Differences of Femoral CondylesMorphology: Osteometric Data from 360 Caucasian Dried Femori. Anat Res Int. 2012;2012:1–6. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/679658.
- 7. Total Knee Arthroplasty. Medscape reference updated. 2018;.
- Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/ NBK499896/.
- Bellemans J, Carpentier K, Vandenneucker H, Vanlauwe J, Victor J. The John Insall Award: Both morphotype and gender influence the shape of the knee in patients undergoing TKA. Clin Ortho Relat Res. 2010;486(1):29–36. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-009-1016-2.
- Bonnin MP, Saffarini M, Bossard N, Dantony E, Victor J. Morphometric analysis of the distal femur in total knee

arthroplasty and native knees. Bone Joint J. 2016;98-B(1):49– 57. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620x.98b1. 35692.

- Cavaignac E, Savall F, Chantalat E, Faruch M, Reina N, Chiron P, et al. Geometric morphometric analysis reveals agerelated differences in the distal femur of Europeans. J Exp Orthop. 2017;4(1):21. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10. 1186/s40634-017-0095-3.
- Shah D. The 'Allah' Case: Implications for Religious Practice and Expression in Malaysia. Oxford J Law Relig. 2015;4(1):141–146. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10. 1093/ojlr/rwu057.
- Wada M, Tatsuo H, Baba H, Asamoto K, Nojyo Y. Femoral intercondylar notch measurements in osteoarthritic knees. Rheumatology. 1999;38(6):554–558. Available from: https: //dx.doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/38.6.554.
- Morphometric Analysis Of Femoral Condyles For Gender And Side-Side Differences In 200 South Indian Femori. International Journal Of Current Research. 2017;9:4543– 45486.
- 15. Anderson AF, Lipscomb AB, Liudahl KJ, Addlestone RB. Analysis of the intercondylar notch by computed tomography.

Am J Sports Med. 1987;15(6):547–552. Available from: https: //dx.doi.org/10.1177/036354658701500605.

- 16. ; 2017.
- Zalawadia AZ, Parekh DH, Patel SM. Morphometric Study Of Lower End Of Dry Femur In Gujarat Region And Its Clinical Implication. Int J of Anatomy Res. 2017;5(4.2):4595–4599. Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.16965/ijar.2017.406.

Copyright: ^(C) the author(s), 2021. It is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits authors to retain ownership of the copyright for their content, and allow anyone to download, reuse, reprint, modify, distribute and/or copy the content as long as the original authors and source are cited.

How to cite this article: Bamne A, Gayathri UP. A Study on Anthropometric Measurements of Lower end of Indian Femora. Acad. Anat. Int. 2021;7(1):1-5.

DOI: dx.doi.org/10.21276/aanat.2021.7.1.1

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.