Original Article

ISSN: 2456-7388

Assessment of Effect of Midazolam on Anxiety in Children Undergoing Surgical Procedures

Narendra Kumar¹

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical College & Research Centre, TMU, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Abstract

Background: To assess effect of midazolam on anxiety in children undergoing surgical procedures. Methods: Fifty- four children age ranged 6-14 years scheduled for surgery were divided into group 1 containing those who were undergoing surgery for the first time and group 2 had patients with a history of previous surgery within the last 2 years. Baseline anxiety of children was measured using the modified Yale Preoperative Anxiety Scale (mYPAS). Results: Time from premedication to second assessment (min) was 23.4 in group 1 and 23.7 in group 2, time from premedication to parental separation (min) was 28.4 in group 1 and 28.3 in group 2, time from premedication to mask induction (min) was 30.5 in group 1 and 31.7 in group 2, anxiety score at baseline was 33.4 in group 1 and 42.5 in group 2, after 20 minutes was 31.6 in group 1 and 34.8 in group 2. Incidence of high anxiety (mYPAS >30) at baseline was 20 in group 1 and 26 in group 2, after 20 minutes was 19 in group 1 and 20 in group 2. A nonsignificant difference was observed (P> 0.05). Conclusion: No difference was seen in anxiety scores after premedication with midazolam as in children with history of previous anaesthesia exposure and those experiencing anaesthesia for the first time.

Key words: Anxiety, Anesthesia, Midalozam, Surgery.

Clinical trial registration number: CTRI/2018/01/011235.

Introduction

Child anxiety during medical procedures is a growing trend and dental treatment is not an exception.^[1] In today's dentistry, parents and caregivers may not be contented with some non-pharmacological behavior management techniques such as voice control, hand over mouth, and intimidation.^[2,3] When treating a child with limited cooperation margin due to fear or anxiety, the use of physical restraining might not result in a satisfactory outcome, especially if the child is in need of comprehensive dental treatment which may necessitate the use of general anesthesia.[4] Physical restraining in the dental office affects the image of the pediatric dentist and may harm the selfrespect of the child at a very young stage of psychological development not to mention the legal implications of harming a vulnerable human being.^[5] Previous history of surgery has been found to be one of the risk factors for increased preoperative anxiety in some studies.^[6,7] However, these findings have not been confirmed by other investigators.^[8] In our clinical practice, we have observed that children who

Address for correspondence: Dr. Narendra Kumar, Assistant Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical College & Research Centre, TMU, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

have undergone surgery in recent past exhibit increased levels of anxiety when rescheduled for surgery and remain anxious even after premedication. Midazolam is an approved sedative medication used for medical procedures.^[9] The present study was undertaken with the aim to assess effect of midazolam on anxiety in children undergoing surgical procedures.

Materials and Methods

Fifty- four children age ranged 6-14 years scheduled for surgery belonging to American Society of Anesthesiologists' physical status I or II under general anaesthesia. Consent from their parents were obtained before initiating the study. Approval for the study was obtained from ethical clearance and review committee.

Case history proforma was made which contains data related to each patient such as name, age, gender etc. Grouping of patients into group 1 containing those who were undergoing surgery for the first time and group 2 had patients with a history of previous surgery within the last 2 years.

Baseline anxiety of children was measured in the preoperative holding room using the modified Yale Preoperative Anxiety Scale (mYPAS). Patients were premedicated with 0.5 mg kg-1 of oral midazolam syrup about 20-30 min prior to induction of general anaesthesia. Anxiety was reassessed 20 min after premedication on a 4-point scale (1 = crying, very anxious; 2 = anxious, not crying; 3 = calm, but not cooperative; 4 = calm, cooperative or asleep). Anaesthesia was induced with sevoflurane (8%) in oxygen using a transparent mask. Mask acceptance during induction of anaesthesia was graded on a 4point scale (1 = combative, crying; 2 = moderate fear)of the mask, not easily calmed; 3 = cooperative withreassurance; 4 = calm, cooperative or asleep). Parental separation and mask acceptance scores of 1 or 2 were considered unsatisfactory, while scores of 3 or 4 were considered satisfactory effects of premedication. Results of the present study after recording all relevant data were subjected for

Kumar; Assessment of Effect of Midazolam on Anxiety in Children

statistical inferences using chi- square test. The level of significance was significant if p value is below 0.05 and highly significant if it is less than 0.01.

Results

Table 1: Distribution of patients				
Parameters	Group I	Group II		
M:F	15:12	16:11		
Weight (Kg)	16.4	17.1		
Height (cm)	106.2	108.4		

There were 15 males and 12 females and 16 males and 11 females in group I and II respectively [Table 1].

Table 2: Comparison of parameters					
Variables	Parameters	Group I	Group II	P value	
Time from premedication to second assessment (min)		23.4	23.7	0.81	
Time from premedication to parental separation (min)		28.4	28.3	0.90	
Time from premedication to mask induction (min)		30.5	31.7	0.73	
Anxiety	Baseline	33.4	42.5	0.12	
score	After 20 minutes	31.6	34.8	0.80	
Incidence of	Baseline	20	26	0.15	
high anxiety (mYPAS >30)	After 20 minutes	19	20	0.17	

Time from premedication to second assessment (min) was 23.4 in group 1 and 23.7 in group 2, time from premedication to parental separation (min) was 28.4 in group 1 and 28.3 in group 2, time from premedication to mask induction (min) was 30.5 in group 1 and 31.7 in group 2, anxiety score at baseline was 33.4 in group 1 and 42.5 in group 2, after 20 minutes was 31.6 in group 1 and 34.8 in group 2. Incidence of high anxiety (mYPAS >30) at baseline was 20 in group 1 and 26 in group 2, after 20 minutes was 19 in group 1 and 20 in group 2. A non- significant difference was observed (P> 0.05) [Table 2, Figure 1].



Discussion

Surgery and anaesthesia are stressful events for children. A large proportion, up to 40%–60%, experience high levels of anxiety in the preoperative period.^[10] Increased preoperative anxiety not only

causes distress to the child and parents but also delays the induction and recovery from anaesthesia, increases the patient's pain experience and increases the likelihood of emergence delirium and maladaptive behaviour.[11] Midazolam, an imidazobenzodiazepine, acts on GABAA receptors to reduce anxiety, leading to sedation, anterograde amnesia, anticonvulsant effects, and centrally produced muscle relaxation.^[12] Because it has high lipid solubility at physiological pH, midazolam quickly passes through the bloodbrain barrier and has a fast onset of action. In addition, the rapid redistribution of midazolam leads to a rapid recovery. For these reasons, midazolam is the most popular premedication drug used during anesthesia induction before surgery.^[13] However, the effect of midazolam premedication remains controversial and the drugs' side effects include paradoxical reactions, oversedation, decreased blood pressure, and respiratory depression. In this regard, some clinicians question the clinical benefits of benzodiazepine premedication and argue that nonpharmacological methods alone are sufficient to reduce preoperative patient anxiety.^[14] The present study was undertaken with the aim to assess effect of midazolam on anxiety in children undergoing surgical procedures.

In present study, there were 15 males and 12 females and 16 males and 11 females in group I and II respectively. Mountain et al15 reported that a dose of 0.5 mg/kg significantly reduces preoperative anxiety and helps in anesthetic mask acceptance by children. They compared Midazolam to Dexmedetomidine as preoperative anxiolytic medications and reported that the latter has an advantage of reducing postoperative ED.

McMillan et al,^[16] reported no beneficial effect using higher doses of 0.75 mg/kg and 1.0 mg/kg compared to 0.5 mg/kg. They also reported more side effects with higher doses, such as prolonged postoperative recovery time and undue over sedation. The potential for adverse effects is a major concern regarding routine preoperative medication. Consequently, before suggesting routine use of Midazolam premedication, more studies on a larger scale are recommended to identify patients who might not benefit from Midazolam preoperative medication.

We found that time from premedication to second assessment (min) was 23.4 in group 1 and 23.7 in group 2, time from premedication to parental separation (min) was 28.4 in group 1 and 28.3 in group 2, time from premedication to mask induction (min) was 30.5 in group 1 and 31.7 in group 2, anxiety score at baseline was 33.4 in group 1 and 42.5 in group 2, after 20 minutes was 31.6 in group 1 and 34.8 in group 2. Incidence of high anxiety (mYPAS >30) at baseline was 20 in group 1 and 26 in group 2, after 20 minutes was 19 in group 1 and 20 in group 2. Batawi et al,^[17] in their study seventy-eight American Society of Anesthesiology (ASA) I children were divided into two groups of 39 each. Children of the first group were premedicated with oral Midazolam 0.5 mg/kg, while children of the control group were

Kumar; Assessment of Effect of Midazolam on Anxiety in Children

premedicated with a placebo. Scores for parental separation, mask acceptance, postoperative emergence delirium, and time spent in the postanesthesia care unit were compared statistically. The test group showed significantly lower parental separation scores and high acceptance rate for anesthetic mask. There was no significant difference between the two groups regarding emergence delirium and time spent in post-anesthesia care unit.

Davidson et al,^[18] observed that hospital admission via the day-stay ward was associated with less anxiety. This may be due to the fact that day-care patients stay in a familiar environment and with people they know right till the time of surgery as opposed to admitted patients who are exposed to an unfamiliar environment and unknown people the night before surgery.

Conclusion

Authors found no difference in anxiety scores after premedication with midazolam as in children with history of previous anaesthesia exposure and those experiencing anaesthesia for the first time.

References

- Corman H, Hornick E, Kritchman M, Terestman N., Emotional reactions of surgical patients to hospitalization, anesthesia and surgery. Am J Surg 1958;96:646-53.
- Melamed BG, Siegel LJ. Reduction of anxiety in children facing hospitalization and surgery by use of filmed modeling. J Consult Clin Psychol 1975;43:511-21.
- 3. Johnston M. Preoperative emotional states and post-operative recovery. Adv Psychosom Med 1986;15:1-22.
- Vernon DT, Schulman JL, Foley JM. Changes in children's behavior after hospitalization: Some dimensions of response and their correlates. Am J Dis Child 1966;111:581-93.
- 5. Vetter TR. The epidemiology and selective identification of children at risk for preoperative anxiety reactions. Anesth Analg 1993;77:96-9.
- Kain ZN, Mayes LC, O'Connor TZ, Cicchetti DV. Preoperative anxiety in children: Predictors and outcomes. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 1996;150:1238-45.
- 7. Fortier MA, Del Rosario AM, Martin SR, Kain ZN. Perioperative anxiety in children. Pediatr Anesth 2010;20:318-22.
- Fortier MA, Martin SR, Chorney JM, Mayes LC, Kain ZN. Preoperative anxiety in adolescents undergoing surgery: A pilot study. Pediatr Anesth 2011;21:969-73.
- Kain ZN, Mayes LC, Cicchetti DV, Bagnall AL, Finley JD, Hofstadter MB. The Yale Preoperative Anxiety Scale: How does it compare with a 'Gold Standard'? Anesth Analg 1997;85:783-8.
- Almenrader N, Passariello M, Coccetti B. Premedication in children: A comparison of oral midazolam and oral clonidine. Pediatr Anesth 2007;17:1143-9.
- 11. Sikich N, Lerman J. Development and psychometric evaluation of the pediatric anesthesia

emergence delirium scale. Anesthesiology. 2004;100:1138–45.

- Pieters BJ, Penn E, Nicklaus P, Bruegger D, Mehta B, Weatherly R. Emergence delirium and postoperative pain in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy: A comparison of propofol vs sevoflurane anesthesia. Paediatr Anaesth. 2010;20:944–50.
- Shung J. Refresher course: The agitated child in recovery. South Afr J Anaesth Analg. 2011;17:96– 9.
- 14. Ead H. From Aldrete to PADSS: Reviewing discharge criteria after ambulatory surgery. J Perianesth Nurs. 2006;21:259–67
- Mountain BW, Smithson L, Cramolini M, Wyatt TH, Newman M. Dexmedetomidine as a pediatric anesthetic premedication to reduce anxiety and to deter emergence delirium. AANA J. 2011;79:219– 24.
- McMillan CO, Spahr-Schopfer IA, Sikich N, Hartley E, Lerman J. Premedication of children with oral midazolam. Can J Anaesth. 1992;39:545–50.
- 17. El Batawi HY. Effect of preoperative oral midazolam sedation on separation anxiety and emergence delirium among children undergoing dental treatment under general anesthesia. Journal of International Society of Preventive & Community Dentistry. 2015 Mar;5(2):88.
- Davidson AJ, Shrivastava PP, Jamsen K, Huang GH, Czarnecki C, Gibson MA, et al. Risk factors for anxiety at induction of anesthesia in children: A prospective cohort study. Pediatr Anesth 2006;16:919-27.

Copyright: Academia Anesthesiologica International is an Official Publication of "Society for Health Care & Research Development". This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License 4.0, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Kumar N. Assessment of Effect of Midazolam on Anxiety in Children Undergoing Surgical Procedures. Acad. Anesthesiol. Int. 2017;2(2):09-11.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.