Original Article

ISSN: 2456-7388

Comparison of intrathecal isobaric ropivacaine plus dexmedetomidine and isobaric ropivacaine plus clonidine for elective lower abdominal and lower limb surgeries

Umamaheshwara Rao W¹, Lakshma Reddy¹, Sachin D Joshi^{2*}

¹Kamineni Academy of Medical Sciences and Research Centre, Hyderabad Telangana, India.

²Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Medical College & Hospital, Trichy, India.

Abstract

Aim: To compare intrathecal isobaric ropivacaine plus dexmedetomidine and isobaric ropivacaine plus clonidine for elective lower abdominal and lower limb surgeries.

Methodology: Eighty patients undergoing lower abdominal and lower limb surgeries under intrathecal anesthesia of either gender were randomly divided into 2 groups of 40 each. Group I patients (male- 22, female-18) received isobaric ropivacaine 0.75% 15 mg + 30 mcg clonidine and Group II patients (male- 24, female-16) received isobaric ropivacaine 0.75% 15 mg + 10 mcg dexmedetomidine. Parameters such as sensory blockade, motor blockage, analgesia and VAS was recorded.

Results: The mean duration of surgery in group I was 106.4 minutes and in group II was 112.8 minutes. The mean time to onset of sensory analgesia was 6.8 minutes in group I and 4.0 minutes in group II. The mean time taken to achieve complete motor blockade was 14.2 minutes in group I and 13.4 minutes in group II. The time taken for regression of sensory block to T-12 level was 154.2 minutes in group I and 196.5 minutes in group II. The mean time to first postoperative analgesic requirement was 262.8 minutes in group I and 342.6 minutes in group II. The difference was significant (P< 0.05). The mean VAS in group I was 4.8 and in group II was 3.6. The difference in both groups was significant (P< 0.05).

Conclusion: Dexmedetomidine with ropivacaine showed earlier sensory blockade, prolonged duration of sensory and motor blockade for lower limb surgeries.

Key words: Dexmedetomidine, ropivacaine, Surgery.

Introduction

Various adjuvants are being used with local anesthetics for prolongation of intra operative and postoperative analgesia in epidural block for lower abdominal and limb surgeries.^[1] Ropivacaine an amide local anesthetic.^[2] It may be a suitable alternative as long-acting local anesthetic because it is considered to be less cardiotoxic and has a significantly higher threshold for central nervous

Address for correspondence:

Sachin D Joshi

Dhanalakshmi Srinivasan Medical College & Hospital, Trichy.

India

system (CNS) toxicity on a milligram basis than bupivacaine. The efficacy of ropivacaine is similar to that of bupivacaine and levobupivacaine for peripheral nerve blocks and, although it may be slightly less potent than bupivacaine when administered epidurally or intrathecally, equi-effective doses have been established.^[3] Thus, ropivacaine, with its efficacy, lower propensity for motor block, and reduced potential for CNS toxicity and cardiotoxicity, appears to be an important option for regional anaesthesia and management of postoperative and labour pain.^[4]

Intrathecal clonidine has been used as an adjuvant to local anaesthetics in various surgical procedures without any clinically significant side effects.^[5] It is known to increase both sensory and motor blockade of local anaesthetics.^[6] Clonidine, a selective partial α2 -adrenergic agonist, is being extensively evaluated as an adjuvant to intrathecal local anaesthetics and has proven to be a potent analgesic free of opioid-related side effects.^[7] Considering this, we compared intrathecal isobaric ropivacaine plus clonidine and isobaric ropivacaine plus dexmedetomidine for elective lower abdominal and lower limb surgeries.

Materials and Methods

A sum total of eighty patients undergoing lower abdominal and lower limb surgeries under intrathecal anesthesia of either gender willing to participate in this study were recruited. Ethical approval was obtained from institutional ethical review committee. All patients gave their written consent for the participation of the study.

After recording detail demographic profile such as name, age, gender, a case sheet was prepared. Patients were randomly divided into 2 groups of 40 each. Group I patients (male- 22, female-18) received isobaric ropivacaine 0.75% 15 mg + 30 mcg clonidine and Group II patients (male- 24, female-16) received isobaric ropivacaine 0.75% 15 mg + 10 mcg dexmedetomidine. Parameters such as sensory

Rao et al; Post-operative analgesia for elective lower abdominal and lower limb surgeries

blockade, motor blockage, analgesia and VAS was recorded. The results were compiled and subjected for statistical analysis using Mann Whitney U test. P value less than 0.05 was set significant.

Results

The mean duration of surgery in group I was 106.4 minutes and in group II was 112.8 minutes. The mean time to onset of sensory analgesia was 6.8 minutes in group I and 4.0 minutes in group II. The mean time taken to achieve complete motor blockade was 14.2 minutes in group I and 13.4 minutes in group II. The time taken for regression of sensory block to T-12 level was 154.2 minutes in group I and 196.5 minutes in group II. The mean time to first postoperative analgesic requirement was 262.8 minutes in group I and 342.6 minutes in group II. The difference was significant (P< 0.05) (Table 1).

Table 1: Comparison of parameters in both groups

groups			
Parameters	Group	Group	P
	I	II	value
Duration of surgery	106.4	112.8	0.91
(mins)			
Onset of sensory	6.8	4.0	0.02
analgesia (mins)			
Time taken to achieve	14.2	13.4	0.05
complete motor			
blockade			
Time taken for	154.2	196.5	0.04
regression of sensory			
block to T-12 level			
Time to first	262.8	342.6	0.01
postoperative			
analgesic requirement			

The mean VAS in group I was 4.8 and in group II was 3.6. The difference in both groups was significant (P< 0.05) (Table 2).

Table 2: Comparison of VAS in both groups

Groups	Mean	P value
Group I	4.8	0.05
Group II	3.6	

Discussion

Epidural anesthesia is one of the most common regional anesthetic techniques used for lower abdominal and lower limb surgeries. [8] The advantages of epidural anesthesia being, it provides effective surgical anesthesia and can meet the extended duration of surgical needs, provides prolonged post-operative analgesia, reduces the incidence of hemodynamic changes. [9] Dexmedetomidine, highly selective, α2 adrenergic agonist is new neuroaxial adjuvant gaining popularity. [10] Various adjuvants are being used with local anesthetics for prolongation of intra operative

and postoperative analgesia in epidural block for lower limb and lower abdominal surgeries. Local anaesthetics are the commonest agents used for spinal anaesthesia. [11] Ropivacaine is a new local anaesthetic which combines the anaesthetic potency and long duration of action of bupivacaine with a toxicity profile intermediate between bupivacaine and lidocaine and has advantage of faster recovery. [12] We compared intrathecal isobaric ropivacaine plus clonidine and isobaric ropivacaine plus dexmedetomidine for elective lower abdominal and lower limb surgeries.

Our results showed that the mean duration of surgery in group I was 106.4 minutes and in group II was 112.8 minutes. The mean time to onset of sensory analgesia was 6.8 minutes in group I and 4.0 minutes in group II. The mean time taken to achieve complete motor blockade was 14.2 minutes in group I and 13.4 minutes in group II. The time taken for regression of sensory block to T-12 level was 154.2 minutes in group I and 196.5 minutes in group II. The mean time to first postoperative analgesic requirement was 262.8 minutes in group I and 342.6 minutes in group II. Martin et al^[13] assessed efficacy of clonidine with ropivacaine intrathecally in three different doses of 15, 45, and 75 µg for ambulatory knee arthroscopy, found that a small 15 µg dose of clonidine significantly improves the quality of anaesthesia without delaying sensory and motor recovery. They also noted that a 45µg dose of clonidine prolongs the sensory blockade without any influence on motor blockade, but a dose of 75 µg is associated with delayed sensory and motor recovery as well as detectable side effects such as hypotension and sedation.

Our results showed that the mean VAS in group I was 4.8 and in group II was 3.6.

El-Attar et al^[14] compared intrathecal dexmedetomidine and fentanyl as additives to bupivacaine and concluded that dexmedetomidine has faster sensory onset compared with fentanyl and local anesthetic when injected intrathecally.

Conclusion

Authors found that dexmedetomidine with ropivacaine showed earlier sensory blockade, prolonged duration of sensory and motor blockade for lower limb surgeries.

References

- Safari F, Aminnejad R, Mohajerani SA, Farivar F, Mottaghi K, Safdari H. Intrathecal dexmedetomidine and fentanyl as adjuvant to bupivacaine on duration of spinal block in addicted patients. Anesth Pain Med. 2016;6:e26714.
- Nayagam HA, Singh NR, Singh HS. A prospective randomised double blind study of intrathecal fentanyl and dexmedetomidine added to low dose bupivacaine for spinal anesthesia for

Rao et al; Post-operative analgesia for elective lower abdominal and lower limb surgeries

- lower abdominal surgeries. Indian J Anaesth. 2014;58:430–5.
- David JS, Ferreti C, Amour J, Vivien B, Eve O, Petit P, et al. Effects of bupivacaine, levobupivacaine and ropivacaine on myocardial relaxation. Can J Anaesth. 2007;54:208–17.
- Chung CJ, Choi SR, Yeo KH, Park HS, Lee SI, Chin YJ. Hyperbaric spinal ropivacaine for cesarean delivery: A comparison to hyperbaric bupivacaine. Anesth Analg. 2001;93:157–61.
- Gautier P, De Kock M, Huberty L, Demir T, Izydorczic M, Vanderick B. Comparison of the effects of intrathecal ropivacaine, levobupivacaine, and bupivacaine for Caesarean section. Br J Anaesth. 2003;91:684–9.
- Whiteside JB, Burke D, Wildsmith JA. Comparison of ropivacaine 0.5% (in glucose 5%) with bupivacaine 0.5% (in glucose 8%) for spinal anaesthesia for elective surgery. Br J Anaesth. 2003:90:304–8.
- Öðün CO, Kirgiz EN, Duman A, Kara I, Ökesli S. The comparison of intrathecal isobaric ropivacaine and isobaric ropivacaine-clonidine for caesarean delivery. Internet J Anesthesiol. 2007:15:904–9.
- Sagiroglu G, Sagiroglu T, Meydan B. The effects of adding various doses of clonidine to ropivacaine in spinal anesthesia. Eurasian J Med. 2009;41:149–53.
- Förster JG, Rosenberg PH. Small dose of clonidine mixed with low-dose ropivacaine and fentanyl for epidural analgesia after total knee arthroplasty. Br J Anaesth. 2004;93:670–7.
- Van Kleef JW, Veering BT, Burm AG. Spinal anesthesia with ropivacaine: A double-blind study on the efficacy and safety of 0.5% and 0.75% solutions in patients undergoing minor lower limb surgery. Anesth Analg. 1994;78:1125–30.
- Mahendru V, Tewari A, Katyal S, Grewal A, Singh MR, Katyal R. A comparison of intrathecal dexmedetomidine, clonidine, and fentanyl as

- adjuvants to hyperbaric bupivacaine for lower limb surgery: A double blind controlled study. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2013;29:496–502.
- Wahedi W, Nolte H, Klein P. Ropivacaine for spinal anesthesia. A dose-finding study. Anaesthesist. 1996;45:737–44.
- Martin E, Ramsay G, Mantz J, Sum-Ping ST. The role of the alpha2-adrenoceptor agonist dexmedetomidine in postsurgical sedation in the intensive care unit. J Intensive Care Med. 2003:18:29–41.
- El-Attar A, Aleem MA, Beltagy R, Ahmed W. A comparative study of intrathecal dexmedetomidine and fentanyl as additives to bupivacaine. Res Opin Anesth Intensive Care. 2015;1:43–9.

Copyright: Academia Anesthesiologica International is an Official Publication of "Society for Health Care & Research Development". This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License 4.0, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Rao UW, Reddy L, Joshi SD. Comparison of intrathecal isobaric ropivacaine plus dexmedetomidine and isobaric ropivacaine plus clonidine for elective lower abdominal and lower limb surgeries. Acad. Anesthesiol. Int. 2016;1(1):33-35.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.